

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States, Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alejandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ο.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/625,398 07/26/2000		07/26/2000	Eric C. Anderson	P205/1805P	7721
49278	7590	02/13/2006		EXAMINER	
IPAC				CHOJNACKI, MELLISSA M	
111 Corni Suite 220				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cary, NC				2164	
				DATE MAILED: 02/13/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/625,398	ANDERSON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mellissa M. Chojnacki	2164					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the company and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 No.	ovember 2005.						
<u>/_</u>	<i>,</i> —						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	red.					
		Lamel					
		SAM RIMELL					
Attachment(s)		PRIMARY EXAMINER					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

1. In response to communications filed on November 14, 2005, claim 1has been amended, no new claims have been added and no claims have been cancelled. Therefore claims 1-40 are still presently pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 10-17, 23-26 and 34-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Thompson (U.S. Patent No. 6,650,831).

As to claim 1, <u>Thompson</u> teaches a method for providing access to respective entity-specific photo-sharing websites for a plurality of entities, each controlling a set of entity-specific image capture devices (See abstract; column 2, lines 23-43, where "entity-specific photo-sharing websites" is read on "hosting service provider"), comprising: providing software for the entity-specific image capture devices that causes the entity-specific image capture devices to transmit entity ID information (See column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-27)

Art Unit: 2164

when the image capture devices transmit images over a network (See column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-27); providing an online photo-sharing service capable of providing access to the entity-specific photo-sharing websites for each of the entities (See abstract; column 2, lines 23-43, such that when the image capture devices connect to the photo-sharing service via the network, the photo-sharing service uses the entity ID received from the image capture devices to automatically associate the images to the photo-sharing website of the identified entity (See column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-38; column 8, lines 55-64).

As to claims 2 and 12, <u>Thompson</u> teaches further including the step of storing the entity ID in the image capture devices during manufacturing (See <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-27); wherein the entity ID is stored in the digital camera during manufacturing (See <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-27).

As to claims 3 and 13, <u>Thompson</u> teaches further including the step of storing the entity ID in the image capture devices subsequent to manufacturing (See <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-27); wherein the entity ID is stored in the digital camera subsequent to manufacturing (See <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-27).

Art Unit: 2164

As to claim 4, <u>Thompson</u> teaches further including the step of providing a plurality of entity IDs, wherein each entity ID identifies a different entity (See <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-27).

As to claim 5, <u>Thompson</u> teaches further including the step of providing an entity ID identifying a camera manufacturer (See <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-27) and an entity ID identifying a user (See <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-27).

As to claim 10, <u>Thompson</u> teaches an online photo-sharing system (See abstract; column 2, lines 23-43), comprising:

an online photo-sharing service for providing access to respective websites for a plurality of entities (See column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-38), wherein each of the entities controls a set of digital cameras (See column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-38); and digital camera software that is customized for each of the entities, such that when the software customized for a particular entity is executed in the entity's digital cameras during a network connection to the photo-sharing service (See column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-38; column 8, lines 55-64).

As to claim 11, <u>Thompson</u> teaches wherein the digital camera software causes the digital camera to transmit at least one entity ID identifying the entity

Art Unit: 2164

that the software was customized for (See <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-27; column 8, lines 55-64).

As to claims 14 and 24, <u>Thompson</u> teaches wherein at least one set of digital cameras is controlled by a hierarchal relationship of entities <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-27; column 8, lines 55-64); further including the step of customizing at least one of the cameras for a hierarchal relationship of entities <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-27; column 8, lines 55-64).

As to Claims 15 and 25, <u>Thompson</u> teaches wherein the digital camera transmits the entity ID of each of the entities in the hierarchal relationship (See <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-27; column 8, lines 55-64); further including the steps of providing the entity ID as a set of hierarchal entity IDs (See <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-27; column 8, lines 55-64).

As to claim 16, <u>Thompson</u> teaches wherein the entities include at least one of a camera manufacturer, a business, a government agency, and end-users (See <u>Thompson</u>, column 2, lines 54-65).

Page 6

As to claim 17, <u>Thompson</u> teaches wherein the online photo-sharing service includes a server and a database for providing access to the respective websites (See abstract; column 2, lines 23-43).

As to claim 23, <u>Thompson</u> teaches a method for automatically sending images from entity-specific cameras to entity-specific websites (See abstract; column 2, lines 23-43, where "entity-specific photo-sharing websites" is read on "hosting service provider"), comprising:

- (a) providing a plurality of cameras with means for allowing the cameras to communicate over a network (See column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-38; column 8, lines 55-64);
- (b) customizing the cameras for different entities by loading at least one entity ID into the camera (See column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-38; column 8, lines 55-64);
- (c) providing an online photo-sharing service for providing access to a plurality of photo- sharing websites (See abstract; column 2, lines 23-43);
- (d) customizing each of the photo-sharing websites for a respective entity to create entity-specific websites, each of the entity-specific websites being identified by a respective entity ID (See column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-38; column 8, lines 55-64);
- (e) transmitting the entity ID from the camera to the photo-sharing website when uploading images from the camera to the photo-sharing service via the network (See column 6, lines 55-67); and

(f) receiving the images and associating the images with the entity-specific website identified by the entity ID (See column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-38; column 8, lines 55-64).

As to claim 26, Thompson teaches further including the steps of storing the entity-specific websites on a database accessed by a server (See Thompson, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-38; column 8, lines 55-64).

As to claim 34, Thompson teaches an online photo-sharing system (See abstract; column 2, lines 23-43, where "entity-specific photo-sharing websites" is read on "hosting service provider"), comprising:

an online photo-sharing service for providing access to respective websites for a plurality of entities (See column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-38; column 8, lines 55-64), wherein each of the entities controls a set of digital cameras (See column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-38; column 8, lines 55-64), the set of digital cameras including digital camera software that is customized for each of the entities, such that when the software customized for a particular entity is executed in the entity's digital cameras during a network connection (See column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-38; column 8, lines 55-64), the software causes the digital cameras to automatically upload images to the website hosted for that particular entity (See abstract; column 2, lines 23-43).

Art Unit: 2164

As to claim 35, Thompson teaches an online photo-sharing system (See abstract; column 2, lines 23-43, where "entity-specific photo-sharing websites" is read on "hosting service provider"), comprising: a plurality of digital cameras for accessing an online photo-sharing service for providing access to respective websites for a plurality of entities (See column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-38; column 8, lines 55-64), wherein each of the entities controls a set of digital cameras of the plurality of digital cameras (See column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-38; column 8, lines 55-64), each of the plurality of digital cameras including digital camera software that is customized for each of the entities, such that when the software customized for a particular entity is executed in the entity's digital cameras during a network connection (See column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-38; column 8, lines 55-64), the software causes the digital cameras to automatically upload images to the website hosted for that particular entity (See abstract; column 2, lines 23-43; column 6, lines 55-67).

As to claim 36, <u>Thompson</u> teaches wherein the online photo-sharing service is capable of hosting the entity specific photo-sharing websites for each of the entities (See <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-38; column 8, lines 55-64).

As to claim 37-38 and 40, <u>Thompson</u> teaches wherein the entity specific photo-sharing websites are hosted outside of the photo-sharing service (See

<u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-38; column 8, lines 55-64); wherein the online photo-sharing service is capable of accessing a server (See <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-38; column 8, lines 55-64) and a database outside of the photo-sharing service for hosting the respective websites (See <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-38; column 8, lines 55-64); wherein the database storing the entity specific websites is arranged outside the photo-sharing service (See <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-38; column 8, lines 55-64).

As to claim 39, <u>Thompson</u> teaches wherein the database storing the entity-specific websites is included within the photo-sharing service (See <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-38; column 8, lines 55-64).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2164

5. Claims 6-9, 18-22 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thompson (U.S. Patent No. 6,650,831), in view of Garfinkle et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,017,157).

As to claim 6, <u>Thompson</u> teaches further including the step of storing an entity account in a database corresponding to different entity IDs (See <u>Garfinkle</u> et al., column 3, line 67; column 4, lines 1-6).

Thompson does not teach further including the step of storing an entity account in a database corresponding to different entity IDs.

Garfinkle et al. teaches a method of processing digital images and distributing visual prints produced from the digital images (See abstract), in which he teaches further including the step of storing an entity account in a database corresponding to different entity IDs (See Garfinkle et al., column 3, line 67; column 4, lines 1-6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified <u>Thompson</u>, to include further including the step of storing an entity account in a database corresponding to different entity IDs.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Thompson</u>, by the teachings of <u>Garfinkle et al.</u> because further including the step of storing an entity account in a database corresponding to different entity IDs would provide a method of processing digital images more economically and easily available via a secure network (See <u>Garfinkle et al.</u> column 1, lines 41-55).

As to claims 7, 19 and 27, <u>Thompson</u> as modified, teaches further including the step of associating with each of the entity accounts, web pages comprising the corresponding entity-specific photo-sharing website, and user account numbers of authorized users (See <u>Thompson</u>, abstract; column 2, lines 23-43; column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-27); wherein the server matches each one of the entity ID's received with one of the entity accounts (See <u>Thompson</u>, abstract; column 2, lines 23-43; column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-27); further including the step of creating an entity account in the database for every entity ID, and associating each of the entity-specific websites with the corresponding entity account (See <u>Thompson</u>, abstract; column 2, lines 23-43; column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-27).

As to claims 8 and 18, <u>Thompson</u> as modified, teaches further including the step of matching the entity ID information received from each image capture device with the corresponding entity account in the database (See <u>Garfinkle et al.</u>, Fig. 4; column 10, lines 44-45; lines 55-59; and also see <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-27); wherein the database stores entity account information for each one the entities (See <u>Garfinkle et al.</u>, Fig. 4; column 3, line 67; column 4, lines 1-6; column 10, lines 44-45; lines 55-59; and also see <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-27).

Art Unit: 2164

As to claim 9, <u>Thompson</u> as modified, teaches further including the step of automatically associating the received images with the entity-specific photo-sharing website of the identified entity (See <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-27; column 8, lines 55-64).

As to claim 20, <u>Thompson</u> teaches wherein the online photo-sharing service derives revenue from the entities (See <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-27; column 8, lines 55-64).

As to claim 21, <u>Thompson</u> teaches wherein the online photo-sharing service shares revenue with multiple entities that are in a hierarchal relationship (See <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-27; column 8, lines 55-64).

As to claim 22, <u>Thompson</u> teaches wherein the respective websites are customized for each of the entities, such that when users visit the respective websites over the network, it appears to the user that the respective websites are hosted by the corresponding entities (See <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-27; column 8, lines 55-64).

As to claim 28, <u>Thompson</u> teaches further including the step of associating URL's of the entity specific websites with the corresponding entity accounts in the

Art Unit: 2164

database (See <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-38; column 8, lines 55-64).

As to claim 29, <u>Thompson</u> teaches further including the steps of matching a received entity ID with one of the entity accounts in order to associate the received images with the entity specific website (See <u>Thompson</u>, column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-38; column 8, lines 55-64).

As to claim 30, <u>Thompson</u> teaches further including the step of transmitting a user entity ID with the entity ID, and creating a user account in the database corresponding to the user ID (See abstract; column 2, lines 23-43), such that the received images are associated with the users account in the corresponding entity-specific website (See <u>Thompson</u> column 6, lines 55-67; column 7, lines 1-3, lines 13-38; column 8, lines 55-64).

6. Claims 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Thompson (U.S. Patent No. 6,650,831), in view of Narayen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,035,323).

As to claims 31-33 <u>Thompson</u> still does not teach providing a default Internet service provider connection information; providing the plurality of cameras with default Internet service provider connection information.

Narayen et al. teaches methods and apparatus for distributing a collection of digital media over a network with automatic generation of presentable media

(See Abstract), in which providing a default internet service provider connection information (See abstract; column 11, lines 7-49); (g) providing the plurality of cameras with default internet service provider connection information (See abstract; column 11, lines 7-49).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified <u>Thompson</u>, to include providing a default internet service provider connection information; (g) providing the plurality of cameras with default internet service provider connection information.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Thompson</u>, by the teachings of <u>Narayen et al.</u> because providing a default internet service provider connection information; (g) providing the plurality of cameras with default internet service provider connection information would allow a user of a digital camera to easily distribute or publish images from the digital camera or other digital acquisition devices over a network, such as the Internet (See <u>Narayen et al.</u>, column 2, lines 28-31).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed on 07-October -2005, with respect to the rejected claims 1-30 have been fully considered but they are not found to be persuasive:

Art Unit: 2164

In response to applicants' arguments regarding "Thompson fails to teach or suggest that 'the photo-sharing service uses the entity ID received from the image capture devices to automatically associate the images to the photosharing website of the identified entity", the arguments have been fully considered but are not found to be persuasive, because Thompson teaches assigning or reserving a global network address (URL) to each digital camera, either by a unique identification number or a serial number, in order to access a image hosting service provider (See column 6, lines 55-67; column 8, lines 55-64). Thompson invention does disclose the image hosting service provider (photo-sharing website) automatically associating a unique identification number (entity ID)or a serial number (entity ID) in order to access the website by the digital camera ID.

In response to applicants' arguments regarding "Thompson fails to teach or suggest network-capable image devices that transmit entity ID information and images over a network", the arguments have been fully considered but are not found to be persuasive, because Thompson teaches that transmission of the images to the service provider can be made either physically (e.g., via mail) or digitally, via the network, or by other suitable means (See column 4, lines 22-33). Column 7, lines 27-38 that have been cited by the applicant in the Remark section of the Amendment (November 14, 2005), states that the personal computer can be an example of a way a "client" can run a program.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mellissa M. Chojnacki whose telephone number is (571) 272-4076. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Rones can be reached on (571) 272-4085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAM RIMELL PRIMARY EXAMINER

February 3, 2006 Mmc